# SCHOOL ACHIEVEMENT PLAN

For Implementation in: 2016 through 2018



# **Amesbury Public Schools**

Cashman Elementary School

MEMBERS OF THE SCHOOL PLANNING TEAM			
Name, Position	Name, Position		
CES Leadership Team In collaboration with the Cashman School Staff	CES School Council		
Leslie Barnaby, Technology Teacher	M. Louise Charette, Principal		
Deb Hewey, ELL Teacher	Lauri McAllister, Building Coordinator		
Ann French, Reading Specialist	Chris Leary, Grade Three Teacher		
Kate Bissell, Kindergarten Teacher	Amy Mitchell, Grade Four Teacher		
Julie Kermelewicz, Grade One Teacher	Jennifer MacIntire, Library Teacher		
Deirdre Vachon, Grade Two Teacher	Lisa Cassidy, Parent Representative		
Beth Cavalier, Grade Three Teacher	Tara Hildt, Parent Representative		
Chris Leary, Grade Four Teacher	Mary Testa, Parent Representative		
Lauren Moskowitz, Learning Center Teacher	TBD, Community Representative		
Janet Johnson, Special Education Teacher			

### **VISION FOR LEARNING**

## **Strategic Objectives**

#### Meet the Needs of the Learner

ALL Students deserve the opportunity to learn to their greatest potential and to achieve mastery of standards. The Amesbury Public Schools will develop curricula, provide instruction and utilize teaching strategies which meet the needs of ALL learners. We will improve accessibility to high quality programs/courses for all student populations.

### Promote Continuous Development of High Quality Educational Leaders

Educational Leaders come in many forms, from student all the way to central office administrator. An educational leader is one who has a keen understanding of the goals and objectives of the school community and is able to inspire and support others towards meeting our vision. The Amesbury Public Schools believes in fostering opportunities to identify and grow leaders within our district and to utilize their skills in furthering our vision.

### Develop and integrate technology that promotes the ability to compete in a rapidly changing world

Technology is changing daily. It is critical that our students have access to current technology and learn how to use their knowledge to adjust their skills as new technology is introduced. To that end, The Amesbury Public Schools will plan for and integrate technology in a manner that shifts teaching styles/strategies in order to enable our students to creatively problem solve through the use of technology.

#### **DISTRICT GOAL 1**

By May 2018, 80% of students will be able to define and discuss the five competencies of SEL framework.

#### **DISTRICT GOAL 2**

By May 2018 we will build professional capacity in integrating SEL and academic learning through curriculum and instruction across the district as demonstrated by the unit design of stage 2 and learning plan design of stage 3 in the UbD design process in assigned curriculum areas.

#### **District Vision or Mission Statement**

The Amesbury School District is unconditionally committed to every child, ensuring that all students experience success through the development of attitudes and skills necessary for lifelong learning by providing the highest quality staff, meaningful learning experiences, and a vitally involved community.

#### **School Vision or Mission Statement**

Empower a community of learners to build a world of opportunity!

### **SCHOOL HIGHLIGHTS**

Our Cashman School is proud to highlight the following school elements

### Strong School Culture and Climate

- Warm, welcoming, and positive learning environment
- Highly trained and dedicated Staff Committed, engaged teachers and staff that go above and beyond and are supported by leadership
- Caring and respect for every child, family and staff member
- Open to new ideas
- Collaborative and communication between departments
- High Expectations for ALL
- HAPPY students
- High value placed on Project Based Learning
- High levels of involvement in co-curricular activities
- Vital and active community partnerships, engaged parents (PTO, AEFI, Pettengill etc.)

# **Priority Concerns**

The ability to deliver on a promise of academic excellence when we struggle to keep up the changing demographics of our students needing more and more social emotional support systems.

#### Academics

Proficiency levels for ELA (grade 3--52% not proficient, grade 4--45% no proficient)
Proficiency levels for Math (grades 3 & 4--44% are not proficient)
Ability to meet the needs of advance learners

Capacity/Resources/Support Systems
High class sizes at grades three and four
Special Education caseload

Capacity for follow through on three tiered response program, especially Tier II interventions Lack of teacher collaboration time ELL service minimums, translation capacity Capacity to analyses available data (state, local) and implement programs Capacity to engage more with community supports to help i.e. support parenting skills

### **Continuing Education**

Opportunities for outside professional development (learning from others) PD for staff to work on required curriculum and assessments (NCLB, ESSA) PD focused on the challenges related to a changing demographic

### **INQUIRY PROCESS**

This section of the plan is based on information from (student achievement data—both formative and summative) that support student achievement. District data may include state and district achievement information (SGP, school profile, accountability reports, interim assessments, etc.). School data may focus on school information related to student achievement (demographic data; school-wide exams and scoring rubrics; grades; results of instructional strategies, remediation programs, professional development; curriculum and programs, etc.). The third level of data may be the qualitative analysis of student achievement (learning environment, culture and student engagement, teacher and administrator observations, meeting logs and notes, parent involvement, etc.). After analyzing all levels, identify key strengths that have increased student achievement and will remain a part of the continuous cycle of school improvement. Prioritize areas of concern in student performance, instructional/remediation strategies, program implementation and professional development that will require further analysis and will determine the revisions to be made in your school aspirations plan.

#### **Key Strengths**

- 1. Increased teacher to student time Class size reduction at grades K-2
- Successful collaborations with multiple community resources to provide supports for our students and families; Pettengill House, School Resource
  Officers, Local Mental Health service provider, Amesbury Police and Fire departments, Jeanne Geiger Crisis Center, Turning Point Program, etc.
- 3. Strong and consistent communication with families and the Amesbury community, Cashman Comment, Ed. Relations out-reach to local media, Cashman Facebook Page, School webpages, etc.
- 4. ELL service increased
- 5. Increasing use of technology with MAP assessment data to individualize skills instruction.
- Cashman School MCAS growth scores average 64-66 over the past eight years. Scores above 60 are considered high growth.
- 7. Implementation of NWEA MAP testing in the areas of Math and ELA in September, January, and June to indicate student growth progress over the course of the school year.
- 8. 22% of our student body participates in one or more after school clubs or activities.

#### **Priority Concerns**

- 1. High class sizes at grade 4
- Language-based PD for ALL staff
- 3. Increase capacity for Tier II interventions (Including greater emphasis on meeting the needs of advanced learners)
  - a. Re-instate Instruction paraprofessionals at each grade level
  - b. Increase classroom based technology
  - c. Greater integration of SEL strategies into the general curriculum
- 4. ELL service minimums and translation capacity
- Greater capacity to support parenting skills.
- 6. 48% of our students were proficient in grade three ELA on our 2016 MCAS testing. In grade four 55% were proficient in ELA.
- 7. 56% of students in grade three and four were proficient in mathematics.
- 8. Growth, as demonstrated in MAP test results was not consistent at all grade levels
- 9. Collaborative planning time for
- 10. More opportunities for Out-of-District PD

- 11. High special education case loads
- 12. Increase our ability to effectively use classroom, school and state assessment data in order to accurately pinpoint academic as well as social emotional concerns of all middle level student subgroups.
- 13. Continued analysis and improvement of our communication methods that increase awareness of educational changes, as well as learning experiences and program opportunities that occur over the course of the school year.
- 14. Advocate for more community-based assistance programming and opportunities for students and their families who have been affected by traumatic life events.

#### **VISION FOR LEARNING**

#### **AMESBURY PUBLIC SCHOOLS**

#### DISTRICT GOAL 1

By June of 2018, 100 percent of teachers will be working collaboratively to align and utilize curriculum and authentic meaningful assessment data to be used to inform and improve instruction.

**Key Action Steps 1.** Director of Assessment and Accountability (DAA) – focused to provide appropriate data for teachers and principals in order to facilitate Professional Learning Communities (PLC). The DAA will also provide coaching to principals and professional development to teachers on how to utilize data to inform and improve instruction.

**Key Action Steps 2.** Administrators will work with Subject Area Committees (SAC) to support the alignment of curriculum and the development of authentic and meaningful assessments. The administrative team will work collaboratively to ensure vertical and district-wide alignment along with fidelity to common assessments and PLC.

**Key Action Steps 3.** Schedules will be developed to support common planning time for teachers. Professional development (PD) relating to PLC and the use of data to inform instruction will be provided. PLCs will be implemented during this planning time.

#### **DISTRICT GOAL 2**

Utilizing data, by June of 2018, 100 percent of students will be provided equitable access to the curriculum through the use of varied instructional strategies with refined and explicitly stated learning goals in order for all students to realize five percent growth in overall achievement.

Key Action Steps 1. Director of Assessment and Accountability (DAA) – focused to provide appropriate data for teachers and principals in order

to facilitate Professional Learning Communities (PLC). The DAA will also provide coaching to principals and professional development to teachers on how to utilize data to inform and improve instruction.

**Key Action Steps 2.** We will develop a Behavior Intervention Committee (BIC) to utilize the Intervention Plan developed by teachers, administrators and Pettengill House as a springboard for identifying actions and supports to put into place in order to support students who are experiencing emotional and behavioral challenges that interfere with their readiness to learn.

**Key Action Steps 3.** Provide resources (funds, human capitol, programming, etc.) to ensure a quality instructional program for each student. Special Education Facilitators, Intensive Needs Pre-School program and Intensive Needs Primary program instituted to ensure equitable access to the curriculum for students.

### VISION FOR LEARNING

#### **District Vision or Mission Statement**

The Amesbury School District is unconditionally committed to every child, ensuring that all students experience success through the development of attitudes and skills necessary for lifelong learning by providing the highest quality staff, meaningful learning experiences, and a vitally involved community.

#### **School Vision or Mission Statement**

At Cashman School our mission is to: Empower a community of learners to build a world of opportunity

### **School Highlights**

- The Cashman School is rated in the 69<sup>th</sup> percentile among schools in Massachusetts with like grade spans based on the 2017 MCAS.
- Cashman students are active participants in the larger Amesbury community as evidenced by projects such as the Our Neighbors Table Food Drives, Senior Tax Write-Off Program, Santa Parade, and curriculum based Amesbury Field Trips, etc...

(See below for highlights in specific strategic areas consistent with the Massachusetts educator evaluation system.)

### **Curriculum Planning and Instruction**

- Common Core aligned curriculum Go Math, Reading St., Fundations
- Project-based experimental learning: K Buddies, Gr. 4
   Immigration, Gr. 3 Biographies, Grade 2 Amesbury

### **Teaching All Students**

- Tiered system of supports
- Intervention Blocks
- ELL Work toward meeting all State Mandates
- Student Participation in Co-Curricular Activities

Community Studies, Grade 1 Science walks, etc  Common planning time for educators.	Second Steps Anti-Bullying Program
Professional Culture  High quality educators Professional Collaboration Targeted PD consistent with goals and mandates	<ul> <li>Senior Tax-Write-Off Program</li> <li>Our Neighbor's Table</li> <li>AEFI Grants</li> <li>AHS Big Brother/Big Sister Program</li> <li>Pettengill and APD Collaborations</li> <li>Volunteers – Rotary Readers</li> </ul>

### **INQUIRY PROCESS**

#### **Section A: Comprehensive Needs Assessment**

This section of the plan is based on information from (student achievement data—both formative and summative) that support student achievement. District data may include state and district achievement information (SGP, school profile, accountability reports, interim assessments, etc.). School data may focus on school information related to student achievement (demographic data; school-wide exams and scoring rubrics; grades; results of instructional strategies, remediation programs, professional development; curriculum and programs, etc.). The third level of data may be the qualitative analysis of student achievement (learning environment, culture and student engagement, teacher and administrator observations, meeting logs and notes, parent involvement, etc.). After analyzing all levels, identify key strengths that have increased student achievement and will remain a part of the continuous cycle of school improvement. Prioritize areas of concern in student performance, instructional/remediation strategies, program implementation and professional development that will require further analysis and will determine the revisions to be made in your school aspirations plan.

### **Key Strengths**

- 1. School Culture School Staff
- 2. Reading Programs, Data Sources, Fundations handwriting / writing
- 3. Improved Math Data MAP
- 4. ELL Personnel, ACCESS testing, Student Profiles, Cornerstones Curriculum
- 5. Special Education Staff Diagnostic abilities
- 6. Professional Learning Community Practice: team collaboration, use of student data, problem solving
- 7. Project-based Learning, Wax Museum, Science Walks, Immigration, Community Studies, etc....

### **Priority Concerns**

(See below for highlights in specific strategic areas consistent with the Massachusetts educator evaluation system.)

### **Curriculum Planning and Instruction**

- Class Size
- ELL Instruction
- Adequate Time for Community Based / Project Based Learning
- Collaborative planning time for specialists
- Foreign Languages

#### **Teaching All Students**

- Our high needs students' 2013 MCAS PPI was 39. (We did not attain the target of 75)
- Class Sizes Special Education Case Loads
- ECC PreK and K needs
- Tier II Interventions Math
- Interventions for advanced students

#### **Professional Culture**

- Professional time for staff
- Professional development choices
- Time to communicate with families

#### **Family and Community**

- Parenting / Family Supports
- ELL translations for families

# **INQUIRY PROCESS**

#### **Section B: Root Cause Analysis**

For each concern, identify the causes that impact or impede student achievement. Causes should focus on the adult actions in the school and must be verified with supporting data. Continue analyzing each cause until the root of the concern is reached. Only by understanding the root cause of the concern, can effective solutions for increasing student achievement be determined. After root causes have been identified, propose solutions grounded in research that clearly describe what instructional practices in the classroom/school (supported by professional development) will be implemented in the plan.

Priority Concerns	Root Causes	Solutions
	service budgets, along with increased student enrollment have resulted in current	Reestablishing elementary class sizes within established recommended limits: Kindergarten – 20 and under Grades 1 & 2 – 22 and under

	that exceeds recommended limits.	Grades 3 & 4 – 24 and under
	Increases in the amount of data collected on students require increased skill in the synthesis, analysis, and interpretation of data as well as in how to use the data to impact instruction for individuals, groups, and grade levels.	Focused professional development and collaborative discussion on using student assessment data for instructional intervention and improvement.
	Several years of level funding and level service budgets, along with decreases in Title I funding have reduced the availability of interventions outside of the regular education classroom.	Collaborative discussions to identify the gap between what our students need and what interventions we can provide followed by the development of an action plan to address this gap.
	Many technology-based interventions that could be available for student and instructional use are not able to be accessed due to insufficient bandwidth, wireless access, and/or hardware.	Work with District leadership and the IT department on the implementation of the technology plan to increase access to wireless solutions as well as hardware (e.g. tablets).
Increasing Family / Community supports	The demands of the new State Curriculum Frameworks can be shared more effectively with families so that they can support their child's development more effectively.	Work with the PTA to develop parent information sessions designed to show parents strategies to help their child develop core academic skills.
Early Childhood Programs	Increased curricula demands from the DESE for early childhood.  Significant increase in the number of young children presenting with intensive learning needs.	Work with District leadership, the School Committee, and Early Childhood teachers to examine our current model of our Pre-K and K programs and to develop a long-range action plan for this age group.
ELL Service – Current staff 1.0 FTE To meet DESE minimum recommendations would require 2.5 FTE	ELL population at the elementary level has tripled in the last four years, from 7 to 22 students.	Work with school based teams to seek creative scheduling solutions. Work with district leadership, school committee and ELL staff to develop a long-range action plan for our ELL students and families.

### SCHOOL GOALS AND MEASURABLE OBJECTIVES

#### GOAL 1

To increase student achievement in ELA and Mathematics

**MEASURABLE OBJECTIVE 1 (for Goal 1):** By June of 2018 we will increase the number of students meeting or exceeding benchmarks in ELA and Math by five percent over current 2015 levels.

#### GOAL 2

To increase our professional capacity in the use of data for the purpose of strengthening our instructional programs.

**MEASURABLE OBJECTIVE 1** (**for Goal 2**): By June 2018 teachers will demonstrate the ability to use data to refine instruction for students.

#### GOAL 3

To increase institutional capacity to provide necessary Tier II academic, social, and behavioral interventions based on student data (Goal 2).

**MEASURABLE OBJECTIVE 1 (for Goal 3):** By June 2018 we will articulate currently available interventions at each grade, identify student needs through the use of data, identify needed interventions, create an action plan for providing interventions

### **MASTER PLAN DESIGN**

Goal 1: To increase student achievement in ELA and Mathematics

**Measurable Objective 1:** By June of 2018 we will increase the number of students meeting or exceeding benchmarks in ELA and Math by five percent over current 2015 levels.

Action & Monitoring Plan ACTION PLAN MONITORING PLAN

#### Goal 1

Action Step	Resources Needed for Implementation	Timeline for Implementation	Person(s) Responsible	Information (data) to Measure success	Timeline for data Collection	Person(s) Responsible
Assess Students	MAP, DIBELS, DRA, MCAS,	2016-2018	Gr. Level Teams	Performance against	Trimester or	Principal
	Content Area Assessments			benchmarks	End of Unit	
				MAP Benchmark		
!						
Professional Reflection on	Common Planning Time	Monthly	Leadership Team and	Meeting Minutes	Ongoing	Principal
Professional Reflection on Instructional Practice	Common Planning Time	ı	Leadership Team and Grade Level Teams	Meeting Minutes AGENDA	Ongoing	Principal
Instructional Practice	Common Planning Time professional development and	,	Grade Level Teams	AGENDA		·

# **MASTER PLAN DESIGN**

Goal 2: To increase our professional capacity in the use of data for the purpose of strengthening our instructional programs.
Measurable Objective 1: By June 2018 teachers will demonstrate the ability to use data to refine instruction for students.

Action & Monitoring
Plan
Goal 1

ACTION PLAN

MONITORING PLAN

Action Step	Resources Needed for Implementation	Timeline for Implementation	Person(s) Responsible	Information (data) to Measure success	Timeline for data Collection	Person(s) Responsible
Collaborative	Common planning time for teacher	2016-2018	Teacher Team	Team Meeting Agendas	End of each	Principal
analysis of data by	teams and RTI meetings, five yearly staff		Members and	and Notes	School Year	
	meetings, PRT days, and monthly Leadership Team meetings			RTI FORMS, Staff Mtg. Agenda, PST		

Tied to Solution: Focused professional development and collaborative discussion on the use of student assessment data for instructional intervention and improvement.						
planning based on data analysis	Common planning time for teacher teams and RTI meetings, five yearly staff meetings, PRT days, and monthly Leadership Team meetings		Members and Principal		End of each School Year	•

Tied to Solution: Focused professional development and collaborative discussion on the use of student assessment data for instructional intervention and improvement.

### **MASTER PLAN DESIGN**

**Goal 3:** By June 2018 we will increase institutional capacity to provide necessary Tier II academic, social, and behavioral interventions based on student data (Goal 2).

**Measurable Objective 1:** By June 2018 we will articulate currently available interventions at each grade, through the use of data identify student needs, identify needed interventions, create an action plan for providing interventions

Action & Monitoring Plan Goal 1	ACTION PLAN			MONITOR	RING PLA	AN
Action Step	Resources Needed for Implementation	Timeline for Implementation	Person(s) Responsible	Information (data) to Measure success	Timeline for data Collection	Person(s) Responsible
Collaborative identification &	Common planning time for teacher teams	2016-2018	Teacher team	Team meeting	Monthly	Principal
classification of grade level	and RTI/Child study meetings, five yearly		members and	agendas and		
and school wide interventions	staff meetings, PRT days, and building		principal	notes		
	leadership team meetings			Agenda/Notes		

Tied to Solution: Collaborative discussions to identify the gap between what our students need and what we can provided followed by the development of an action plan to address this gap.

student needs and needed interventions based on current	Common planning time for teacher teams and RTI/Child study meetings, five yearly staff meetings, PRT days, and building leadership team meetings		members and principal	Team meeting agendas and notes Agenda/Notes	Monthly	Principal
Tied to Solution: Team meeting	g agendas and notes.	,				
an action plan for providing	Common planning time for teacher teams and RTI/Child study meetings, five yearly staff meetings, PRT days, and building leadership team meetings		leadership team		Monthly	Principal

Tied to Solution: Collaborative discussions to identify the gap between what our students need and what we can provide followed by the development of an action plan to address this gap.

## **MASTER PLAN DESIGN**

### Section B: Evaluating Plan Implementation

For each goal and measurable objective, identify the outcome data (evidence), measures of success, and person(s) responsible for evaluating the success of the goal. Outcome data should refer to identified assessment sources in the plan and should include results from Tier I, Tier II, and Tier III that support student achievement. Measures of success should identify the measures and strategies that will be used to analyze performance and compare actual outcomes with anticipated outcomes. Person(s) responsible will analyze data including evidence identified in the measures, determine the causes relative to achievement, and make connections to the solutions and action steps identified in the school improvement plan.

**Goal 1:** By June 2018 we will increase institutional capacity to provide necessary Tier II academic, social, and behavioral interventions based on student data (Goal 2).

### Measurable Objective 1:

**Evaluation Plan For Goal 1 - Measurable Objective 1** 

Outcome Data
Assessment tools used to measure success
at the end of the SIP

Measures of Success

Analysis of anticipated outcomes to be shared with the stakeholders

Person(s) Responsible Person(s) to analyze the data, provide evidence of progress, and connect solutions and action steps to success

District henchmarks Data Sheets	Grade level teachers, special educators, reading teachers, principal
2. Percentage of students scoring at the Proficient or Advanced	Grade level teachers, special educators, reading teachers, principal

# **MASTER PLAN DESIGN**

Goal 2: To increase our professional capacity in the use of data for the purpose of strengthening our instructional programs.

Measurable Objective 1: By June of 2018, teachers will have demonstrated their ability to use data to refine instruction for their students.

Action & Monitoring		
Plan	ACTION PLAN	MONITORING PLAN
Goal 2		

Action Step	Resources Needed for Implementation	Timeline for Implementation	Person(s) Responsible	Information (data) to Measure success	Timeline for data Collection	Person(s) Responsible
Collaborative analysis of data by teacher teams	Common planning time for teacher teams and RTI/Child study meetings, five yearly staff meetings, PRT days, and building leadership team meetings	2016-2018	Teacher Team members and Principal Agenda/Notes	Team meeting agendas and notes	End of school year	Principal

**Tied to Solution:** Focused professional development and collaborative discussion on using student assessment data for instructional intervention and improvement

2016-2018

Common planning time for teacher teams and Collaborative planning RTI/Child study meetings, five yearly staff meetings, based on data analysis PRT days, and building leadership team meetings

Teacher Team members and Principal Team meeting agendas and notes and lesson plans

End of Principal school year

Agenda/Notes

**Tied to Solution:** Focused professional development and collaborative discussion on using student assessment data for instructional intervention and improvement

**Goal 3:** To increase our institutional capacity to provide necessary Tier II academic, social, and behavioral interventions based on student data (see Goal 2).

**Measurable Objective 1:** By June 2015 we will articulate current interventions at each grade, identify student needs through the use of data, identify needed interventions, and develop an action plan for providing the interventions.

Action & Monitoring Plan Goal 3	ACTION PLA	MONITORING PLAN				
Action Step	Resources Needed for Implementation	Timeline for Implementation	Person(s) Responsible	Information (data) to Measure success	Timeline for data Collection	Person(s) Responsible
Collaborative identification & classification of grade level and schoolwide interventions	Common planning time for teacher teams and RTI/Child study meetings, five yearly staff meetings, PRT days, and building leadership team meetings	2016-2018	Teacher team members and principal Agenda/Notes/ FY16 Requests/ Data Charts	Team meeting agendas and notes	Monthly	Principal
<i>Tied to Solution:</i> Collaborative discussions to identify the gap between what our students need and what we can provided followed by the development of an action plan to address this gap.						
Collaborative identification of student needs and needed interventions based on current data	Common planning time for teacher teams and RTI/Child study meetings, five yearly staff meetings, PRT days, and building leadership team meetings	2016-2018	Teacher team members and principal Agenda/Notes/ FY16 Requests/ Data Charts	Team meeting agendas and notes	Monthly	Principal
<b>Tied to Solution:</b> Collaborative disaction plan to address this gap.	scussions to identify the gap between what our stud	ents need and	what we can provided	I followed by the d	evelopme	nt of an
Collaborative development of an action plan for providing interventions not currently in place	Common planning time for teacher teams and RTI/Child study meetings, five yearly staff meetings, PRT days, and building leadership team meetings	2016-2018	Building leadership team TBD	Written action plan	Monthly	Principal
Tied to Solution: Collaborative discussions to identify the gap between what our students need and what we can provided followed by the development of an						

**Tied to Solution:** Collaborative discussions to identify the gap between what our students need and what we can provided followed by the development of an action plan to address this gap.

### **MASTER PLAN DESIGN**

#### Section B: Evaluating Plan Implementation

For each goal and measurable objective, identify the outcome data (evidence), measures of success, and person(s) responsible for evaluating the success of the goal. Outcome data should refer to identified assessment sources in the plan and should include results from Tier I, Tier II, and Tier III that support student achievement. Measures of success should identify the measures and strategies that will be used to analyze performance and compare actual outcomes with anticipated outcomes. Person(s) responsible will analyze data including evidence identified in the measures, determine the causes relative to achievement, and make connections to the solutions and action steps identified in the school improvement plan.

Goal 1: To increase student achievement in ELA and Math.

**Measurable Objective 1:** By June of 2016, we will increase the number of students meeting or exceeding benchmarks in ELA and Math by 5% over 2014 end-of-the-year levels.

#### **Evaluation Plan For Goal 1 - Measurable Objective 1**

Outcome Data Assessment tools used to measure success at the end of the SIP	Measures of Success Analysis of anticipated outcomes to be shared with the stakeholders	Person(s) Responsible Person(s) to analyze the data, provide evidence of progress, and connect solutions and action steps to success
Results from end-of-the-year DIBELS, DRA, Reading Street, GoMath, and MAP assessments		1. Grade level teachers, special educators, reading teachers, principal
	Percentage of students scoring at the Proficient or Advanced level. Data Charts/History	2. Grade level teachers, special educators, reading teachers, principal

# **MASTER PLAN DESIGN**

# Section B: Evaluating Plan Implementation

Goal 2: To increase our professional capacity in the use of data for the purpose of strengthening our instructional programs.

Measurable Objective 1: By June of 2016, teachers will have demonstrated their ability to use data to refine instruction for their students.

### **Evaluation Plan For Goal 2 - Measurable Objective 1**

Outcome Data Assessment tools used to measure success at the end of the SIP	Measures of Success Analysis of anticipated outcomes to be shared with the stakeholders	Person(s) Responsible Person(s) to analyze the data, provide evidence of progress, and connect solutions and action steps to success	
Minutes from grade level, leadership, and faculty meetings	recommendations/implications for instruction. Agenda	Grade level teachers, special educators, specialists, reading teachers, principal	
	IZ Selected Jesson plans that demonstrate adjustments to instruction. Lit Reduest	2. All teachers, principal	

# **MASTER PLAN DESIGN**

## Section B: Evaluating Plan Implementation

Goal 3: To increase our institutional capacity to provide necessary Tier II academic, social, and behavioral interventions based on student data (see Goal 2).

**Measurable Objective 1:** By June 2015 we will articulate current interventions at each grade, identify student needs through the use of data, identify needed interventions, and develop an action plan for providing the interventions.

### **Evaluation Plan For Goal 3 - Measurable Objective 1**

Outcome Data Assessment tools used to measure success at the end of the SIP	Measures of Success Analysis of anticipated outcomes to be shared with the stakeholders	Person(s) Responsible Person(s) to analyze the data, provide evidence of progress, and connect solutions and action steps to success
Minutes from grade level, leadership, and faculty meetings	2 Intervention Articulation/Ligita Linguis	All teachers, building Leadership teams, Child study/RTI teams, principal
Minutes from grade level, leadership, and faculty meetings		Building Leadership teams, Child study/RTI teams, principal
3. Written action plan that includes recommendations for implementing identified interventions  Intervention Articulation/Data Charts/2015-2016 Placement and Planning		Building Leadership teams, Child study/RTI teams, principal